Federal Defenders of NEW YORK, INC.

Southern District 52 Duane Street-10th Floor, New York, NY 10007 Tel: (212) 417-8700 Fax: (212) 571-0392

David E. Patton

Executive Director

Southern District of New York Jennifer L. Brown Attorney-in-Charge

July 20, 2020

BY ECF AND EMAIL

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street, Room 2240 New York, New York 10007

Re: United States v. Nidal Ayyad 93 Cr. 180 (LAK)

Dear Judge Kaplan,

I respectfully write on behalf of Nidal Ayyad to request that the Court order a de novo re-sentencing hearing in light of the Court's Order dated June 24, 2020, vacating Count 10 of the Indictment. The Court indicated that an amended judgment will reflect its ruling. However, Mr. Ayyad has demonstrated an extraordinary record of post-sentence rehabilitation during his 27 years of incarceration which he hopes the Court will consider at a de novo re-sentencing, and subsequently impose a reduced sentence which reflects his contrition and rehabilitative efforts.

Background Information

Mr. Ayyad's case involves the 1993 World Trade Center bombing. After trial, he was convicted of two counts charging him with use of a weapon in furtherance of an act of violence (924C), as set forth in counts 9 and 10 of the Indictment. Mr. Ayyad was sentenced to terms of 30 years on each count to run consecutive to all other counts for which he a convicted. The total sentence imposed was 1,403 months.

¹ Mohammad Salameh does not join in this motion.

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge Southern District of New York July 20, 2020 Page 2

Re: United States v. Nidal Ayyad 93 Cr. 180 (LAK)

Mr. Ayyad subsequently filed a motion to vacate counts 9 and 10 under the authority of *United States v. Davis*, 139 S.Ct. 2319 (2019), and *United States v. Barrett*, 937 F.3d 126 (2d Cir. 2019). The Government moved to vacate Count 10 but opposed the defendant's motion under Count 9. In an Order dated June 24, 2019, the Court granted the motion to vacate Count 10 but denied the motion to vacate Count 9. The Court indicated that it would enter an amended judgment reflecting this ruling.

A De Novo Re-Sentencing Hearing Is Required For Nidal Ayyad

The Second Circuit has specifically held that when a resentencing results from a vacatur of a conviction, a de novo sentencing is the default rule. United States v. Quintieri, 306 F.3d 1217, 1228 n.6 (2d Cir. 2002) See also United States v. Draper, 553 F.3d 174, 184 (2d Cir. 2009) (conviction reversed on 2 counts which were dismissed requiring de novo re-sentencing). Quintieri considered the question as to whether conviction errors should result in a default rule of de novo re-sentencing versus sentencing errors for which the default rule would result in a limited sentencing. (Id.). The rule set forth in Quintieri was that where a count of conviction is overturned, as opposed to an aspect of a sentence, re-sentencing must be de novo; and de novo means "anew." United States v. Rigas, 583 F.3d 1108, 117 (2d Cir. 2009).

CONCLUSION

Mr. Ayyad requests that the Court conduct a full *de novo* resentencing hearing following the Court's Order vacating his conviction of Count 10 of the Indictment for which he was sentenced to a term of 30 years incarceration to run consecutive to all other counts. We respectfully request that the Court order a new Pre-sentence Report ("PSR") and allow the parties sufficient time to submit a Sentencing Memorandum following receipt of the new PSR.

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan United States District Judge Southern District of New York

July 20, 2020 Page 3

Re: United States v. Nidal Ayyad

93 Cr. 180 (LAK)

Respectfully submitted,

/S/

Robert M. Baum

Assistant Federal Defender

cc: Julianna Murray, Esq.
Elinor Tarlow, Esq.
Ryan B. Finkel, Esq.

Assistant United States Attorneys